

**Sri K. V. SHANKARA GOWDA.**—He will get his increment only when he is trained.

**Sri G. CHANDRAKANTH.**—When he is trained, is it not necessary that he should be given graduates' pay?

**Sri K. V. SHANKARA GOWDA.**—Not necessary.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಎ. ಚನ್ನೇಗೌಡ.**—ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದುಮಾಡಿರುವ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ತರಪೇತಿ ಹೊಂದದ ಪದವೀಧರ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರುಗಳನ್ನು ನೋಡಿದರೆ ಕೆಲವು ಶಾಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ತಮ್ಮ ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಪ್ರಭಾವದಿಂದ ತರಪೇತಿ ಹೊಂದದೆ ಇರತಕ್ಕ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರನ್ನು ನೇಮಕಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಂಬುದು ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಥ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ವಿ. ಶಂಕರಗೌಡ.**—ರೋಕರ್ ರೆಕ್ರೂಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಕಮಿಟಿ ಇದೆ ಅವರು ತರಪೇತಿ ಹೊಂದಿದ ಹಾಗೂ ತರಪೇತಿ ಹೊಂದದ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರನ್ನು ನೇಮಕಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ.

**Sri G. CHANDRAKANTH.**—Is it not a fact that the local graduates are getting graduates' pay in High Schools?

**Sri K. V. SHANKARA GOWDA.**—Yes, they are getting.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆ. ಬೊಮ್ಮೇಗೌಡ.**—ತರಪೇತಿ ಹೊಂದಿರುವ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು ಬೇಕಾದಷ್ಟು ಇರುವಾಗ ತರಪೇತಿ ಹೊಂದದೇ ಇರುವ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರನ್ನು ಈಗ ನೇಮಕಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತಹ ಜರೂರಾದ ಕಾರಣಗಳು ಏನಿದ್ದವು?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ವಿ. ಶಂಕರಗೌಡ.**—ಈಗ ಸಾಧಾರಣವಾಗಿ ತರಪೇತಿ ಹೊಂದದ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರನ್ನು ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಇಲ್ಲ. ತರಪೇತಿ ಹೊಂದಿರುವ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರನ್ನೇ ನೇಮಕಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಂ. ಜೆ. ಸದ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯ.**—ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಕ್ಸ್ ಮೈಸೂರು ಎಂದು ಹಾಕಿದೆ. ಅಂದಮೇಲೆ ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ ಯಾವ ಕರ್ಣಾಟಕಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದೆ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ವಿ. ಶಂಕರಗೌಡ.**—ಅದು ಮೈಸೂರು ಕರ್ಣಾಟಕಕ್ಕೆ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ.

**Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.**—Question Hour is over. Now short notice question.

## SHORT NOTICE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

### Death of one autorikshaw Driver in Vayyalikaval in February 1968

8. **Sri S. S. SHETTAR (Hubli).**—

Will the Minister for Home and Municipal Administration be pleased to state :—

(a) whether it is a fact that one autorikshaw driver died in the Vayyalikaval Police Station, Bangalore on or about 3rd February 1968;

(b) how far the police are responsible for his death;

(c) whether any action is being taken against the police?

**A.—Sri R. M. PATIL**—Minister for Home and Municipal Administration).—

(a) No.

(b) and (c) Do not arise.

Sri S. S. SHETTAR.—I put question No. 8.

†Sri R. M. PATIL.—All these questions are referred to Vayyalikaval incident. In respect of these what I submit is, the charge sheet has been filed in the Court and the case also is fixed for hearing on 20th March 1968. Therefore, I submit that this is *sub-judice*.

Sri S. S. SHETTAR.—Question No. 8 does not come under court jurisdiction. Because the question is whether it is a fact that one autorickshaw driver died in the Vayyalikaval Police Station, Bangalore on or about 3rd February 1968. For eliciting information this is put. It has nothing to do with the matter of *sub-judice*.

Sri R. M. PATIL.—The question is this. The person who died in that incident was not a rickshaw driver as such. Once he worked as a casual driver and that does not necessarily mean that he was holding licence to drive auto-rickshaw. Hence that matter is denied.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಸ್. ಶೆಟ್ಟರ್.—ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಸ್ಟೇಷನ್‌ಗೆ ಬಂದ ಮನುಷ್ಯ ನಾಯುವಂತ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಏನಿತ್ತು, ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಪೊಲೀಸಿನವರು ಕಾವಲು ಇದ್ದರೋ ಇಲ್ಲವೋ, ಒಂದುವೇಳೆ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ನವರು ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾವಲು ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಅವರದು ತಪ್ಪು ಹೌದೋ ಅಲ್ಲವೋ ?

Sri R. M. PATIL.—He attempted to commit suicide and there was no responsibility on the part of the police. Further details in this regard will come within the purview of the court which are likely to prejudice the case.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಮುನಿಚಂದ್ರ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಸ್ಟೇಷನ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವೊತ್ತು ಸತ್ತದ್ದು ?

Sri R. M. PATIL.—He has not died in the Police Station. He died in the hospital at 4-20 p.m. He was arrested and taken into custody as he wanted water, the police constable who was on watch, went to fetch water. In the meanwhile he attempted to commit suicide and thereafter he began to complain about his own ailment and he was sent to the Victoria Hospital.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ.—ವೆಯ್ಯಾಲಿಕಾವಲ್ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಸ್ಟೇಷನ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಸತ್ತ ಮನುಷ್ಯನ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ವ್ಯಾಜಿಸ್ಟೇಷನರಿ ಏನಾದರೂ ಎನ್‌ಕ್ವೈರಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ, ಒಂದುವೇಳೆ ಆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಏನಾದರೂ ಎನ್‌ಕ್ವೈರಿ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದರೆ, ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಸಮಂಜಸವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೇಸು ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿದೆ, ಎನ್‌ಕ್ವೈರಿ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಆಗುತ್ತಿದೆ, ಅಂಥಾ ವಿಷಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ಇದು ಸಬ್‌ಜುಡೀಸ್ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ನಾನು ಆಗರೇ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಕೃಷ್ಣನ್.—ಮನುಷ್ಯ ಆತ್ಮಹತ್ಯೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡಿ ದ್ದಾನೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವನು ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಪಡಲಿಲ್ಲ, ಅವನನ್ನು ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಸ್ಟೇಷನ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಮರ್ಡರ್ ಮಾಡಿದರು ಎಂದು ಗೊತ್ತಾಗಿದೆ. ಹೀಗಿರಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಸರಿಯೇ ?

Sri R. M. PATIL.—I have stated the facts and further discussion on this matter will be taken up in the court. Therefore, it is not advisable that I should be asked to furnish further information on this matter.

Sri S. S. SHETTAR.—May I know what is the crime number in respect of the death incident registered in the police station? Whether any case is registered in the police station? Whether any investigation

is being conducted and if so, who is the person accused in that particular crime number ?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—He has already said that the matter is sub-judice.

Sri S. S. SHETTAR.—The question of disclosing the crime number, will not amount to a matter of sub-judice. If there is any accusation, disclosing the name of such accused person, will it also amount to a matter of *sub-judice* ?

Sri R. M. PATIL.—Sri Munichinappa in spite of his offence, *i.e.*, in respect of alleged attempt, was arrested on 3-2-68 and on suspicion in crime No. 3468 under section 457 and section 380 a case against him was registered.

Sri S. S. SHETTAR.—That is not the answer to my question I am asking the question whether any crime has been registered in respect of the death of the deceased ?

Sri R. M. PATIL.—Under Crime No. 35 of 1968 under Section 309 a case was registered against him for attempting to commit suicide.

Sri M. NAGAPPA.—I rise to a point of order. I request the Chair to remove all these matters from the records because as per Rule 36 (2) (s) it cannot be asked. The rule says :

“it shall not ask for information on a matter which is under adjudication by a Court of Law having jurisdiction in any part of India ”

This may become a public document. Unnecessarily we will be protecting an officer who is responsible, before a court of law. I submit this being a matter which is pending before the court, may be expunged from the records.

Sri R. M. PATIL.—Sir, this is a matter in respect of facts. Therefore it does not affect the case.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—I am supporting Mr. Nagappa.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—I will give the ruling. I observe that we are simply wasting time.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—I do not think it is the intention of the Chair to protect the officer through the Minister. Here is the Minister who wants to protect the Officer. So, some of the statements made have got to be expunged because they lead to protection of certain officers. I therefore, support Mr. Nagappa's point of order.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The point of order raised by Mr. Nagappa is not a point of order because we have not discussed anything with regard to the merit of the case. The Minister has only stated some facts.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—There is an allegation and counter-allegation. There is an allegation that he was beaten and because of Police atrocities he died. Secondly, the Police say that it is not so.

**Sri B. RACHAIAH** (Minister for Revenue and Forests).—Is the Hon'ble Member questioning the ruling of the Chair? After the ruling is given, he has once again raised the same point.

**Sri M. NAGAPPA**.—I can challenge the ruling.

**Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER**.—When the ruling is given, the Member is expected not to raise anything with regard to it.

**Sri M. NAGAPPA**.—I have got every right. I will show you some rulings in this respect.

**Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER**.—The Member has no right to say anything about the ruling. Next question.

### Firing in Vayyalikaval Police Station on 4th February 1963

#### 9. **Sri SADASHIV SHETTAR** (Hubli).—

Will the Minister for Home and Municipal Administration be pleased to state:—

(a) the number of persons injured and killed in the police firing in front of Vayyalikaval Police Station, Bangalore on 4th February 1963;

(b) whether the police attempted to disperse the mob in front of the police station before firing;

(c) whether the injured persons have been properly treated by the hospital authorities?

**A.—Sri R. M. PATIL** (Minister for Home and Municipal Administration).—

(a) Two persons died and five persons were injured as a result of Police firing on 4th February 1968.

(b) Yes.

(c) Yes.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಸ್. ಶೆಟ್ಟರ್**.—ವೈಯ್ಯಾಲಿಕಾವಲ್ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಠಾಣೆಯ ಎದುರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಮುಜಂತ್ಸಾದ್ ಕೇಸ್ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಾವಿರಾರು ಜನರು ಸೇರುವ ತನಕ ಪೊಲೀಸಿನವರು ಏನಾದರೂ ಮಲಗಿದ್ದರೆ? ಮುಂಜಾಗ್ರತೆ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಏತಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿಲ್ಲ?

**†ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್**.—ಪೊಲೀಸಿನವರು ಮಲಗಿದ್ದರು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಸ್. ಶೆಟ್ಟರ್**.—4-5 ಸಾವಿರ ಜನ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮೊದಲೇ, ಅಂದರೆ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಏಕೋಶಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗುವ ಮೊದಲು, ಯಾವ ಮುಂಜಾಗ್ರತೆ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಪೊಲೀಸಿನವರು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡರು?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್**.—ಸಣ್ಣದಾಗಿ ಜನರು ಗುಂಪು ಕೂಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಯಾವ ತಕರಾರೂ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಗುಂಪು ಸೇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಭಯಾನಕ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಉಂಟಾಗಬಹುದೆಂದು ಪೊಲೀಸರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿದಾಗ ಮಾತ್ರ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾರೆ.